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Data from: https://au.pinterest.com/pin/488077678338752549
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## Introduction - Example: similar web-pages



Data from: http://vlado.fmf.uni-lj.si/pub/networks/data/GD/gd97/B97.net see [1]
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ECoG: "Linear and nonlinear association measures produce similar association matrices and networks." ?

It is important to:

- Use appropriate measure of correlation / association / causality.
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ECoG: "Linear and nonlinear association measures produce similar association matrices and networks." ?

It is important to:

- Use appropriate measure of correlation / association / causality.
- Assess the significance of the measure.
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A network consists of nodes and links
Node: national team, web-page, ...
Link: match between two teams, link between two web-pages, ...
Each node is an entity and the link denotes a connection between two entities.

Here, we will study a different (specific) type of nodes and links:
Each node type is a variable.
The link denotes some form of association or correlation between the variables.
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## Example

Scientometrics: Study the relationship among various scientific disciplines. see [2], Sec 3.5.1
Node (unit): scientific journal
Link: interaction between two journals,
e.g. a link is established if journal $i$ cites journal $j$ at least once within a given period (directed link), and vice versa (undirected link).


$$
C_{i j} \text { : times i cites } j \quad C_{j} \text { : times } j \text { cites } i
$$



Another association rule is the Jaccard measure:
$J A C_{i j}=J A C_{j i}=\frac{C_{i j}+C_{j i}}{\sum_{k \neq j} C_{i k}+\sum_{k \neq i} C_{j k}}$

## A "backbone" map of Science and Social Science:

 7121 journals from year 2000

Source: http://grants.nih.gov/grants/KM/OERRM/OER_KM_events/Borner.pdf

The 212 nodes represent clusters of journals for different disciplines


Source: http://grants.nih.gov/grants/KM/OERRM/OER_KM_events/Borner.pdf
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Each node $i$ is presented with a vector $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ of $n$ observed attributes

$$
\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left[x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i n}\right]^{\prime}
$$

A similarity measure $\operatorname{sim}(i, j)$ quantifies the level of association between two such nodes $i$ and $j$.
$\operatorname{sim}(i, j)$ may take numerical values.
A link is assigned if the level of $\operatorname{sim}(i, j)$ constitutes non-trivial association between $i$ and $j$.
$\operatorname{sim}(i, j)$ is not directly observable but can be inferred by the information in $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{j}$.
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## Exercise 1: Profile of Department web-sites

## Example

Consider as network an ensemble of Departments of some sort (e.g. of the same University, discipline, country etc).

The interest is in studying the quality / strength / similarity of the web-profiles of the Departments.
Node: a Dept web-site, assigned with a number of attributes:

- Staff members having their home-page linked to the Department web-pages (e.g. given as percentage).
- Number of announcements within the last month.
- Other ???

What is an appropriate $\operatorname{sim}(i, j)$ to infer links?
... the above is the first exercise!
You should determine and collect data for: Departments (e.g. 5), attributes (e.g. 4-5), and determine a suitable $\operatorname{sim}(i, j)$.
You may use a software (e.g. pajek) to draw the network.
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Gene Regulation from Microarray Data: Patterns of regulatory interactions among genes can be described by networks.
Node: the gene
$X_{i}$ : relative level of RNA expression of the gene $i$ in a cell.
$\mathbf{x}_{i}$ : Microarray measurements of the RNA level at $n$ experiments (different conditions).
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Significance test for correlation coefficient?
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## Significance of correlation (nonparametric test)

Nonparametric testing: draw the null distribution of $r_{i j}$ from resampled pairs consistent to $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho_{i j}=0$.

## Significance of correlation (nonparametric test)

Nonparametric testing: draw the null distribution of $r_{i j}$ from resampled pairs consistent to $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho_{i j}=0$.
(1) For an "original" pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)$, generate $B$ randomized sample pairs $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$. Generation of each $b$ pair:

## Significance of correlation (nonparametric test)

Nonparametric testing: draw the null distribution of $r_{i j}$ from resampled pairs consistent to $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho_{i j}=0$.
(1) For an "original" pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)$, generate $B$ randomized sample pairs $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$. Generation of each $b$ pair:

- Let first variable intact, $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}=\mathbf{x}_{i}$.


## Significance of correlation (nonparametric test)

Nonparametric testing: draw the null distribution of $r_{i j}$ from resampled pairs consistent to $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho_{i j}=0$.
(1) For an "original" pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)$, generate $B$ randomized sample pairs $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$. Generation of each $b$ pair:

- Let first variable intact, $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}=\mathbf{x}_{i}$.
- Shuffle randomly the samples of the other variable to get $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}$.


## Significance of correlation (nonparametric test)

Nonparametric testing: draw the null distribution of $r_{i j}$ from resampled pairs consistent to $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho_{i j}=0$.
(1) For an "original" pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)$, generate $B$ randomized sample pairs $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$. Generation of each $b$ pair:

- Let first variable intact, $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}=\mathbf{x}_{i}$.
- Shuffle randomly the samples of the other variable to get $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}$.

Each sample pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$ is from $\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ under the hypothesis of independence ( $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}$ preserves the marginal distribution of $\mathbf{x}_{i}$, the same for $j$ ).

## Significance of correlation (nonparametric test)

Nonparametric testing: draw the null distribution of $r_{i j}$ from resampled pairs consistent to $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho_{i j}=0$.
(1) For an "original" pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)$, generate $B$ randomized sample pairs $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$. Generation of each $b$ pair:

- Let first variable intact, $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}=\mathbf{x}_{i}$.
- Shuffle randomly the samples of the other variable to get $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}$.

Each sample pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$ is from $\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ under the hypothesis of independence ( $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}$ preserves the marginal distribution of $\mathbf{x}_{i}$, the same for $j$ ).
(2) Compute $r_{i j}^{* b}$ on each pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$.

## Significance of correlation (nonparametric test)

Nonparametric testing: draw the null distribution of $r_{i j}$ from resampled pairs consistent to $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho_{i j}=0$.
(1) For an "original" pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)$, generate $B$ randomized sample pairs $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$. Generation of each $b$ pair:

- Let first variable intact, $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}=\mathbf{x}_{i}$.
- Shuffle randomly the samples of the other variable to get $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}$. Each sample pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$ is from $\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ under the hypothesis of independence ( $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}$ preserves the marginal distribution of $\mathbf{x}_{i}$, the same for $j$ ).
(2) Compute $r_{i j}^{* b}$ on each pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$. The ensemble $\left\{r_{i j}^{* b}\right\}_{b=1}^{B}$ forms the empirical null distribution of $r_{i j}$.


## Significance of correlation (nonparametric test)

Nonparametric testing: draw the null distribution of $r_{i j}$ from resampled pairs consistent to $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho_{i j}=0$.
(1) For an "original" pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)$, generate $B$ randomized sample pairs $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$. Generation of each $b$ pair:

- Let first variable intact, $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}=\mathbf{x}_{i}$.
- Shuffle randomly the samples of the other variable to get $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}$. Each sample pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$ is from $\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ under the hypothesis of independence ( $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}$ preserves the marginal distribution of $\mathbf{x}_{i}$, the same for $j$ ).
(2) Compute $r_{i j}^{* b}$ on each pair $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* b}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{* b}\right), b=1, \ldots, B$. The ensemble $\left\{r_{i j}^{* b}\right\}_{b=1}^{B}$ forms the empirical null distribution of $r_{i j}$.
(3) Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ if sample $r_{i j}$ is not in the distribution of $\left\{r_{i j}^{* b}\right\}_{b=1}^{B}$ (using rank ordering).


## Example: Gene Regulation (continuing)

Nonparametric testing, 1000 randomized samples.

## Example: Gene Regulation (continuing)

Nonparametric testing, 1000 randomized samples.



## Example: Gene Regulation (continuing)

Nonparametric testing, 1000 randomized samples.




| gene pair | $r_{i j}$ | t-statistic $(p$-value $)$ | rank ( $p$-value $)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| lrp-aroG | 0.78 | $6.48(0.0000)$ | $1001(0.0013)$ |
| Irp-tyrR | -0.36 | $-2.32(0.0202)$ | $17(0.0333)$ |
| aroG-tyrR | -0.21 | $-1.30(0.1942)$ | $99(0.1971)$ |

## Example: Gene Regulation (continuing)

Nonparametric testing, 1000 randomized samples.




| gene pair | $r_{i j}$ | t-statistic $(p$-value $)$ | rank ( $p$-value $)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| lrp-aroG | 0.78 | $6.48(0.0000)$ | $1001(0.0013)$ |
| Irp-tyrR | -0.36 | $-2.32(0.0202)$ | $17(0.0333)$ |
| aroG-tyrR | -0.21 | $-1.30(0.1942)$ | $99(0.1971)$ |

## Correlation coefficient and correlation matrix

The correlation coefficients $r_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$ form a correlation matrix (positive semidefinite)

## Correlation coefficient and correlation matrix

The correlation coefficients $r_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$ form a correlation matrix (positive semidefinite)
Establishing the statistically significant $r_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$, the correlation matrix is converted to the adjacency matrix.

## Correlation coefficient and correlation matrix

The correlation coefficients $r_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$ form a correlation matrix (positive semidefinite)
Establishing the statistically significant $r_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$, the correlation matrix is converted to the adjacency matrix.

## Example

Correlation for the genes: Irp, aroG, tyrR

| gene | $r_{i j}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Irp-aroG | 0.78 |
| Irp-tyrR <br> aroG-tyrR | -0.36 |
| -0.21 |  |\(\quad \longrightarrow \quad R=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}1 \& 0.78 \& -0.36 <br>

0.78 \& 1 \& -0.21 <br>
-0.36 \& -0.21 \& 1\end{array}\right]\)

## Correlation coefficient and correlation matrix

The correlation coefficients $r_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$ form a correlation matrix (positive semidefinite)
Establishing the statistically significant $r_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$, the correlation matrix is converted to the adjacency matrix.

## Example

Correlation for the genes: Irp, aroG, tyrR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { gene } \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Irp-aroG } \\
\text { Irp-tyrR } \\
\text { aroG-tyrR }
\end{array} \\
0.78 \\
-0.36 \\
-0.21
\end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad R=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0.78 & -0.36 \\
0.78 & 1 & -0.21 \\
-0.36 & -0.21 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& R=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0.78 & -0.36 \\
0.78 & 1 & -0.21 \\
-0.36 & -0.21 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \longrightarrow \quad R=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Are the link(s) found statistically significant also "non-trivial $\stackrel{\underline{\underline{E}}}{ }$ ?
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Use any subset (3 or more) of the genes in file Ecoliv4Build6ex1 (in ascii or excel format, see course web-page).
Using the 41 experiments for each gene, form the correlation network for the selected genes.
You should:
(1) Use the correlation coefficient $r_{i j}$ as similarity measure of two genes $i$ and $j$.
(2) Use parametric and nonparametric test of significance for each correlation coefficient $r_{i j}$.
(3) Identify whether the significant links are the same with parametric and nonparametric testing.
(9) Form the networks from significant links from each test. matlab:

- for the Pearson correlation coefficient you may use the function corrcoef
- for random shuffling you may use the function randperm


## Partial Correlation Network see [2], Sec 7.3.1
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To maintain links of only direct dependence, the appropriate similarity measure is the partial correlation

$$
\rho_{i j \mid K}=\frac{\sigma_{i j \mid K}}{\sigma_{i i \mid K} \sigma_{j j \mid K}}
$$

$\rho_{i j \mid K}=0$ if $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ are independent, conditional to $\mathbf{X}_{K}$.
$\sigma_{i j \mid K}, \sigma_{i i \mid K}$ and $\sigma_{j j \mid K}$ are components of the $2 \times 2$ partial covariance matrix

$$
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$\sigma_{i j \mid K}, \sigma_{i i \mid K}$ and $\sigma_{j j \mid K}$ are components of the $2 \times 2$ partial covariance matrix

$$
\Sigma_{11 \mid 2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
s_{i i \mid K}^{2} & s_{i j \mid K} \\
s_{i j \mid K} & s_{j j \mid K}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\Sigma_{11 \mid 2}$ is defined as

$$
\Sigma_{11 \mid 2}=\Sigma_{11}-\Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}
$$

The matrices $\Sigma_{11}, \Sigma_{12}, \Sigma_{22}$ and $\Sigma_{21}$ are components of the partitioned covariance matrix

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{W})=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\
\Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

of all involved variables partitioned as $\mathbf{W}=\left[\mathbf{W}_{1}, \mathbf{W}_{2}\right]^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{W}_{1}=\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]^{\prime}, \mathbf{W}_{2}=\mathbf{X}_{K}$.
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Partial correlation for the three genes: Irp, aroG, tyrR, and 41 experiments.




| gene pair | $r_{i j}$ | $r_{i j \mid k}$ | z-statistic $(p$-value $)$ | rank $(p$-value $)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| lrp-aroG | 0.78 | 0.77 | $6.25(0.0000)$ | $1001(0.0013)$ |
| lrp-tyrR | -0.36 | -0.32 | $-2.04(0.0411)$ | $23(0.0453)$ |
| aroG-tyrR | -0.21 | 0.13 | $0.77(0.4421)$ | $765(0.4727)$ |

## Example: Gene Regulation (continuing)

Partial correlation for the three genes: Irp, aroG, tyrR, and 41 experiments.




| gene pair | $r_{i j}$ | $r_{i j \mid k}$ | z-statistic ( $p$-value) | rank ( $p$-value) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| lrp-aroG | 0.78 | 0.77 | $6.25(0.0000)$ | $1001(0.0013)$ |
| lrp-tyrR | -0.36 | -0.32 | $-2.04(0.0411)$ | $23(0.0453)$ |
| aroG-tyrR | -0.21 | 0.13 | $0.77(0.4421)$ | $765(0.4727)$ |

Only the partial correlation of aroG-tyrR is substantially different from the correlation coefficient.

## Exercise 3: Micro-array Data

Do the same as in Exercise 2 but using the partial correlation as similarity matrix.

## Exercise 3: Micro-array Data

Do the same as in Exercise 2 but using the partial correlation as similarity matrix.
matlab: for the partial correlation you may use the function parcorr (in the Econometrics toolbox)
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## Correlation Network and Time Series

So far, the $n$ measurements of attribute $X_{i}$ are independent.
Further, we suppose that the $n$ measurements may be ordered, typically being time dependent.

The vector $\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left[x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i n}\right]^{\prime}$ denotes a time series of $X_{i}$.
A similarity measure $\operatorname{sim}(i, j)$ quantifies the level of

- correlation or coupling between $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ (undirected link)
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Others ???
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$N=8$ world stock markets, daily indices, $n=100$ days.
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## Example: World financial markets

$N=8$ world stock markets, daily indices, $n=100$ days.


Similar indices, links among world stock markets?
Can we use the same similarity measure as for time-independent observations?

Upper triangular: sample correlation coefficient $r_{i j}$.
Lower triangular: $p$-value for significance test for $\rho_{i j}$ ( $z$-statistic)

|  | USA | AUS | UK | GER | GRE | MAL | SAF | CRO |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| USA |  | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.75 |
| AUS | 0 |  | 0.91 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.56 | 0.27 | 0.83 |
| UK | 0 | 0 |  | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.74 |
| GER | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.84 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.61 |
| GRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.82 |
| MAL | 0.0008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.54 | 0.38 |
| SAF | 0.0057 | 0.0065 | 0.0017 | 0 | 0.1154 | 0 |  | -0.15 |
| CRO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0001 | 0.1408 |  |

## Example: World financial markets, correlation coefficient

Upper triangular: sample correlation coefficient $r_{i j}$.
Lower triangular: $p$-value for significance test for $\rho_{i j}$ ( $z$-statistic)

|  | USA | AUS | UK | GER | GRE | MAL | SAF | CRO |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| USA |  | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.75 |
| AUS | 0 |  | 0.91 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.56 | 0.27 | 0.83 |
| UK | 0 | 0 |  | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.74 |
| GER | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.84 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.61 |
| GRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.82 |
| MAL | 0.0008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.54 | 0.38 |
| SAF | 0.0057 | 0.0065 | 0.0017 | 0 | 0.1154 | 0 |  | -0.15 |
| CRO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0001 | 0.1408 |  |

Almost all indices are strongly correlated.

Example: World financial markets, correlation network
Adjacency matrix, threshold at $\alpha=0.01$ (multiple testing?)

|  | USA | AUS | UK | GER | GRE | MAL | SAF | CRO |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| USA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AUS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| UK | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| GER | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| GRE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| MAL | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| SAF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| CRO | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
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## Example: World financial markets, partial correlation

Upper triangular: partial correlation $r_{i j \mid K}$, conditioned on all $|K|=6$ rest variables.
Lower triangular: $p$-value for significance test for $\rho_{i j \mid K}$ ( $z$-statistic)

|  | USA | AUS | UK | GER | GRE | MAL | SAF | CRO |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| USA |  | 0.01 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.07 | -0.27 | 0.11 | 0.27 |
| AUS | 0.9378 |  | 0.42 | -0.02 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.38 |
| UK | 0.0002 | 0 |  | 0.08 | 0.36 | -0.16 | 0.08 | -0.11 |
| GER | 0.0081 | 0.8469 | 0.4693 |  | 0.38 | -0.31 | 0.66 | 0.26 |
| GRE | 0.4946 | 0.1392 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 |  | 0.19 | -0.36 | 0.01 |
| MAL | 0.0083 | 0.0033 | 0.1232 | 0.0026 | 0.0710 |  | 0.68 | 0.46 |
| SAF | 0.2908 | 0.3554 | 0.4321 | 0 | 0.0003 | 0 |  | -0.70 |
| CRO | 0.0079 | 0.0002 | 0.3149 | 0.0099 | 0.9083 | 0 | 0 |  |
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Upper triangular: partial correlation $r_{i j \mid K}$, conditioned on all $|K|=6$ rest variables.
Lower triangular: $p$-value for significance test for $\rho_{i j \mid K}$ ( $z$-statistic)

|  | USA | AUS | UK | GER | GRE | MAL | SAF | CRO |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| USA |  | 0.01 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.07 | -0.27 | 0.11 | 0.27 |
| AUS | 0.9378 |  | 0.42 | -0.02 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.38 |
| UK | 0.0002 | 0 |  | 0.08 | 0.36 | -0.16 | 0.08 | -0.11 |
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| GRE | 0.4946 | 0.1392 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 |  | 0.19 | -0.36 | 0.01 |
| MAL | 0.0083 | 0.0033 | 0.1232 | 0.0026 | 0.0710 |  | 0.68 | 0.46 |
| SAF | 0.2908 | 0.3554 | 0.4321 | 0 | 0.0003 | 0 |  | -0.70 |
| CRO | 0.0079 | 0.0002 | 0.3149 | 0.0099 | 0.9083 | 0 | 0 |  |

Correlation between any two indices decreased when conditioned on all others.

## Example: Financial markets, partial correlation network

Adjacency matrix, threshold at $\alpha=0.01$

|  | USA | AUS | UK | GER | GRE | MAL | SAF | CRO |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| USA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| AUS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| UK | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GER | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| GRE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MAL | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| SAF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| CRO | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
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